

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,363	12/03/2003	Su-Byeong Kim	P24630	3104
7055	7590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	IM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	KING, BRADLEY T		
RESTON, VA	D CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/725,363	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bradley T King	3683		

	Bradley T King	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. \square The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•	d	4h - 1 6				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.					
<u> </u>	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
·		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be s	• ——	time also file also assessed asse	ant annualina tha				
non-allowable claim(s).	•	·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	out does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		R.I. to	1. My				
			11 1 . 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations require further consideration.